Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/754,551	YAMAKADO ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Steven R. Garland	2125
All Participants:	Status of Application: pen	eding
(1) <u>Steven R. Garland</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Saltiel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 September 2005	Time: morning	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 3,4		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the application by examiner's amendment to place the application in better form for allowance. The proposed cjhanges are set forth in the examiner's amendment		
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)